sq. km. and is likely to add one million cubic meters of hydro carbon; and

(c) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The preliminary estimates indicate that the structure covers an area of 8 sq. km. and is likely to contain one billion cubic metres of gas.

Power projects financed by Power Finance Corporation

2121. SHRI KAHNU CHARAN LENKA: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Power Finance Corporation of India is financing some power projects in the country;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof, State-wise;

(c) whether the corporation has agreed to extend assistance to 'Ib' Thermal Power Project in Orissa; and

(d) if so, what is the amount of credit and the amount of foreign exchange required for it?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI ARIF MOHD. KHAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) State-wise amount sanctioned for power projects as on 31-7-1990 is given in the Statement (*See* below)

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Rs 30 crore has been sanctioned for 'Ib' Thermal Power Project and the amount of foreign exchange required in this is nil.

Statement

State-wise amount sanctioned for Power Projects by Power Finance Corporations as on 31-7-1990.

Sl. No.	State	Amount sanctioned (Rs. in lakhs)
	Andhra Pradesh	22,485.00
	Arunachal Pradesh	155.00
3	Assam	422.80
4	Bihar	10,659.56
5	Gujarat	10,940.77
6	Haryana	6,192.23
7	Himachal Pradesh	2,292.80
8	J & K	2,500.00
9	Karnataka	7,333.62
10	Kerala	269.00
11	Madhya Pradesh	25,769.86
12	Maharashtra	18,233.68

Sl. No.	State	Amount Sanctioned (Rs. in lakhs)
13.	Nagaland	605.00
14.	Orissa	9,716.48
15.	Punjab	6,793.80
16.	Rajasthan	8,069.54
17.	Sikkim	300.00
18.	Tamil Nadu	24,774.31
19.	Tripura	91.15
20.	Uttar Pradesh	45,351.74
21.	West Bengal	22,840.74

Performance of two subsidiaries of Coal India Limited

2122. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the performance of the two subsidiaries of Coal India Limited namely the Eastern Coalfields Limited and the Bharat Coking Coal Limited have been far from satisfactory;

(b) if so, by what percentage the production in these subsidiaries fells short of targets during 1988, 1989 and 1990 till date;

(c) what is the comparative percentage of rise in the cost of production in these subsidiaries;

(d) which of the coal mines are considered to be uneconomical stating the average annual loss in respect of each of the coal mines; and

(e) whether Government propose to close down the uneconomical coal mines?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI ARIF MOHD. KHAN): (a) and (b) Both ECL and BCCL had achieved targeted coal production in 1988-89. However, production in these companies fell short of the targets during 1989-90 and also in the first four months of the current year. Overall production in these two companies during last two years and the current year is given in the table below:—

(million tonnes)

Coal production in ECL and BCCL

Company	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91 (April—July)
ECL			
Target	30.10	30.90	7.83
Actual Production	30.13	24.46	6.23
Percentage shortfall from target	Nil	−20.8%	−20.4%